WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Oscar	Reynaldo Rodriguez Gonsalez	Case Number:	15-9064MJ	
was presen	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f t and represented by counsel. I conclude by a p der the detention of the defendant pending trial in FIND	preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a p	reponderance of the evidence that:	7.11.00 01 17.01		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United	d States or lawfully admitted fo	r permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged	e of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts	e defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enf	orcement contact by fleeing fro	om law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imp	orisonment.	
The Court at the	e Court incorporates by reference the material fi e time of the hearing in this matter, except as no	ndings of the Pretrial Services ted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
		LUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant v No condition or combination of conditions v		earance of the defendant as required.	
		REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correcti pending apported of a c	e defendant is committed to the custody of the A ions facility separate, to the extent practicable, for peal. The defendant shall be afforded a reason ourt of the United States or on request of an attell deliver the defendant to the United States Mars.	rom persons awaiting or servir able opportunity for private cor orney for the Government, the	ng sentences or being held in custody insultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this dete	_	etrict Court it is counsel's responsibility	
to deliver a District Cou from the da	copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to rt. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., eff te of service of a copy of this order or after the with the district court. Failure to timely file object.	o Pretrial Services at least one ective December 1, 2009, Defeoral order is stated on the reco	day prior to the hearing set before the endant shall have fourteen (14) days rd within which to file specific written	
Pretrial Ser	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a the vices sufficiently in advance of the hearing beform investigate the potential third party custodian	re the District Court to allow Pr		
DATE:	February 27, 2015	9	Swillest	
DITIE	1 Oblidary 21, 2010	Unit	Eileen S. Willett ted States Magistrate Judge	